

Application 10/620,155
December 5, 2008 amendment after Notice of Allowance

Attorney Docket P002.210

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

I. Status of the claims

Two amendments were made to claim 1 herein. First, the term "low" was deleted to make the language of claim 1 consistent with that used in the most recent Listing of Claims dated June 17, 2008. Although the term "low" was present in original claim 1, it was deleted in the amendment dated October 4, 2007. Second, in the Examiner's Amendment, the subject matter of claims 9 and 10 was incorporated into claim 1 as a wherein clause. The Examiner placed this wherein clause in element (iv). As a matter of form, Applicants instead placed the wherein clause containing the subject matter of claims 9 and 10 in element (iii).

The amendments are fully supported by the application as filed and do not introduce new matter. Entry of these amendments is respectfully requested.

II. Substance of the Interview

Applicants thank Examiner Ramillano for the telephonic interview on August 28, 2008. Present were Examiner Ramillano and Applicant's representative, Sue Kalman. During the interview, Sue Kalman and Examiner Ramillano agreed to amend claim 1 by incorporating the subject matter recited in claims 9 and 10 into claim 1. It was also agreed to incorporate into claim 1, a list of frit materials from Applicant's specification (paragraph [0134] of the published application).

III. Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants hereby acknowledge the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance. Applicants respectfully note that there may be additional reasons for allowance that have not been specifically cited, and which may apply to various of the allowed claims, in addition to or instead of the cited Reasons. Applicant respectfully suggests that notwithstanding the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, it is believed that each of the allowed claims is patentable in its own right and/or for other reasons raised during the prosecution and/or explained in the specification of this application.

To the extent that any statements regarding patentability of any claims allowed by the Examiner made by the Applicant or the Examiner in any document filed in this application are inconsistent with or not included in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, they are incorporated by reference herein.

Application 10/620,155
December 5, 2008 amendment after Notice of Allowance

Attorney Docket P002.210

CONCLUSION


Applicants believe no fee is required for submission of this response, however, if a fee is required, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct such fee from the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-2852.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this application are in condition for allowance.

Phynexus, Inc.
IP Dept.
3670 Charter Park Drive, Suite A
San Jose, CA 95136

skalman@phynexus.com
(408)267-7214 phone
(408)267-7346 FAX

Respectfully submitted,



Sue S. Kalman
Reg. No. 54,727

Attachments:

- 1) Transmittal Sheet